

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,927	01/29/2009	Sek Chung Fung	CASE 1050 US	4825
26839 7590 09/19/2011 Nikolaos C. George			EXAMINER	
JONES DAY			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
222 E. 41st. St New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/583,927	FUNG ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
STEPHEN RAWLINGS	1643				

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>STEPHEN RAWLINGS</u> .	(3) <u>Hong Van Le</u> .				
(2) <u>Laura Coruzzi</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 12 September 2011.					
Type:   ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If Yes, brief description:					
Issues Discussed					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>96, 97, 122, and 123</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A					

### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant's representatives expressed concern that claims 96, 97, 122, and 123 could be interpreted in two ways. such that in the case of claim 122, for example, the claim could be read as if drawn to a monoclonal antibody comprising a heavy chain comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 143, as opposed to just a heavy chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence, as well as a light chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 142. The Examiner expressed his opinion that the claim should be understood as written to encompass an antibody comprising a heavy chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 143 and further comprising a light chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 142. Although the claimed antibody could comprise a full heavy chain (inclusive of a constant domain and the variable domain), it need not comprise a constant domain. Nonetheless, Applicant's representatives requested that the Examiner consider entering an amendment to the claims, which makes it evident that the claimed antibody need only comprise the heavy chain variable region, such that Applicant need not file an amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 312. Having discussed this issue with his supervisor, Misook Yu the Examiner decided it best not to amend the claims but to place on record his interpretation of the claims in this interview summary. Notably, Applicant's representatives indicated that such an action would be acceptable to their client. Accordingly, it is stated for the record that claims 122 and 123 are drawn to a monoclonal antibody according to claims 116 and 117, respectively, which minimally comprises a light chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 142 and a heavy chain variable region comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 143 and which need not further comprise, for example, a heavy chain constant domain. Similarly, claim 96 is drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a heavy chain or a variable heavy chain region of a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds human IL- 13, wherein said monoclonal antibody minimally comprises a heavy chain variable region and light chain variable region comprising the complementarity determining regions of the anti-IL-13 antibody comprising the amino acid sequence of an antibody produced by a hybridoma designated with ATCC accession number PTA-5657; caim 97 is drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a light chain or a variable light chain region of a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds human IL- 13, wherein said monoclonal antibody minimally comprises a heavy chain variable region and light chain variable region comprising the complementarity determining regions of the anti-IL-13 antibody comprising the amino acid sequence of an antibody produced by a hybridoma designated with ATCC accession number PTA-5657. The monoclonal antibody to which both claims 96 and 97 are directed could but need not comprise a heavy chain comprising a heavy chain constant domain.

ninny days from this interview date, of the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview					
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentiality and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
Attachment					
/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643					

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 8/11/2010)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20110912

### Application No. 10583927

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record
A compiler written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or felephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be field by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 [35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal aftendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any allected only promise, stuplation, or undestration in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed asolely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 912.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be mailed ormountly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
  - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.